# **Supplementary Materials**

Yuhui Quan<sup>1</sup>, Xinran Qin<sup>1</sup>, Tongyao Pang<sup>2</sup>, and Hui Ji<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Computer Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 119076, Singapore csyhquan@scut.edu.cn, csqinxinran@mail.scut.edu.cn, matpt@nus.edu.sg, matjh@nus.edu.sg

### 1 Algorithm Description of Test-Time Model Adaption

Algorithm 1 Inference with Test-Time Model Adaption

Input: Measurement sample  $y^*$ ; Sensing matrix  $\Phi^*$ ; Pre-trained parameters  $\hat{\omega}$ Parameter: Learning rate  $\tau$ ; Epoch number T Output: Reconstructed image  $x^*$ 1. Initialize  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^*$  with  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ . 2. for  $i = 1, \dots, T$ , update  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^*$  on test sample: 3.  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^* := \boldsymbol{\omega}^* - \tau \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}^*} \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{y}^*}^{\text{Dual}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}^*)$ . 4. return  $\boldsymbol{x}^* = f_{\Phi^*}(\boldsymbol{y}^*; \boldsymbol{\omega}^*)$ .

#### **Proof of Proposition 1** 2

Here we only provide the proof regarding the connection between  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{Measure}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \|\boldsymbol{\Phi} f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}.$  The proof regarding the connection between  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{Image}}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \|f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{z}+\boldsymbol{r})) - \boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2}$  is the same. Firstly, rewrite  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{Measure}}$  by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{Measure}} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \Big[ \| \boldsymbol{\Phi} f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{x} \|_{2}^{2} \\ + 2\overline{(\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon})}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Phi} (f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{x}) + \overline{(\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon})}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \Big],$$
(1)

where the last term  $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}})^{\top}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$  is a constant irrelevant to the value of the NN parameters  $\omega$ . Since  $\gamma$  and  $\epsilon$  conditioned on x are independent and follow the same distribution  $P_1(\cdot | \boldsymbol{x})$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{\top} \Phi(f_{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{x}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{\top} \Phi(f_{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
= \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{x}} p_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}) P_{1}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{x}) P_{1}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}) \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^{\top} \Phi(f_{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
= \int_{\boldsymbol{x}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{x}} p_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}) P_{1}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}) P_{1}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{x}) \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{\top} \Phi(f_{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{\gamma}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon})-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{\top} (f_{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})-\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(2)

## 2 Quan et al.

Thus the second term on the right hand side of Eqn. (1) is zero, which leads to

$$\mathcal{L}^{ ext{Measure}} = \mathbb{E}_{oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{\epsilon},oldsymbol{\gamma}} \| oldsymbol{\Phi} f_{oldsymbol{\Phi}}(oldsymbol{y}+oldsymbol{\gamma}) - oldsymbol{\Phi} oldsymbol{x} \|_2^2 + const.$$

The proof is done.

# 3 Proof of $\mathbb{E}(r^{\top}e) = 0$

As  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{s}_i = 0$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  is independent from  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{e}'$ , we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{r}^{\top}\boldsymbol{e}) = \mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{e}' \odot \boldsymbol{s})^{\top}\boldsymbol{e} = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{s}_{i}\boldsymbol{e}_{i}'\boldsymbol{e}_{i} = \sum_{i} (\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{s}_{i})(\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{e}_{i}'\boldsymbol{e}_{i}) = 0.$$
(3)

## 4 Proof of Proposition 2

Since  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon'$  are i.i.d. Gaussian noise of zero mean and independent from x, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\boldsymbol{y} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0.$$

It yields that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{SURE}+}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{Measure}}) \\ = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}\left\{2\sigma^{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}}\frac{\partial f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right) - 2(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})\right) + 2(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\boldsymbol{y} - (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'\right\} \\ = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}\left\{2\sigma^{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}}\frac{\partial f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right) - 2(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})\right)\right\} - M\sigma^{2}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Thus, we only need to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}\sigma^{2}\mathrm{tr}\Big(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}}\frac{\partial f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})}{\partial\boldsymbol{y}}\Big) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^{\top}\Big(\boldsymbol{\Phi}f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}';\boldsymbol{\omega})\Big).$$
(5)

For ease of notation, we denote  $g(y + \epsilon') = \Phi f_{\Phi}(y + \epsilon'; \omega)$ , and we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'} \boldsymbol{g} = \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{g} = \operatorname{tr} \Big( \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{H}} \frac{\partial f_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'; \boldsymbol{\omega})}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}} \Big).$$

Then we can rewrite (5) as

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}\sigma^2 \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}\boldsymbol{g} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}')^\top \boldsymbol{g}.$$
 (6)

It is enough to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\sigma^{2}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\boldsymbol{g}_{i} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}, \ \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}.$$
(7)

Let  $\psi_{\sigma}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  denote the probability distribution function of univariate normal distribution of variance  $\sigma^2$ . It is known that

$$\nabla \psi_{\sigma}(x) = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} x \psi_{\sigma}(x). \tag{8}$$

By integration by parts, we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\sigma^{2}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\boldsymbol{g}_{i} = \int \sigma^{2}\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i} = \sigma^{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int \sigma^{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\nabla\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i} = \sigma^{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \int \boldsymbol{g}_{i}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i} = \int \boldsymbol{g}_{i}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}\psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i})d\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i} = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}}\boldsymbol{g}_{i}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_{i}.$$
(9)

Note that  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{g}_i \psi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_i)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = 0$ , as the exponential decay of  $\psi_{\sigma}$  is faster than the polynomial growth of  $\mathbf{g}_i$ . The proof is done.

# 5 Visual Comparison on More Samples



Fig. 1. Results of CT reconstruction.



Fig. 2. Results of noisy MRI reconstruction with the radial mask of CS ratio 25%.



Fig. 3. Results of noiseless MRI reconstruction with the radial mask of CS ratio 25%.



Fig. 4. Results of noisy NIR from Gaussian measurements of CS ratio 40%.



Fig. 5. Results of NIR from Gaussian measurements of CS ratio 25%. The upper row is for the noiseless setting and the bottom row for the noisy setting.



Fig. 6. Results of NIR from Gaussian measurements of CS ratio 10%. The upper row is for the noiseless setting and the bottom row for the noisy setting.