# [Supplementary Document] House-GAN: Relational Generative Adversarial Networks for Graph-constrained House Layout Generation

Nelson Nauata<sup>1</sup>, Kai-Hung Chang<sup>2</sup>, Chin-Yi Cheng<sup>2</sup>, Greg Mori<sup>1</sup>, and Yasutaka Furukawa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Simon Fraser University {nnauata, furukawa}@sfu.ca, mori@cs.sfu.ca <sup>2</sup> Autodesk Research {kai-hung.chang, chin-yi.cheng}@autodesk.com

The supplementary document provides 1) additional details on the interface utilized for the user study; 2) architects feedback from the user study; 3) additional experimental details 4) full architectural specification for CNN-only and GCN baselines; and 5) additional experimental results.

### 1 User study interface

Figure 1 shows a screenshot of our user study for the realism evaluation. A subject is presented 1) a legend for the room types and their associated colors, 2) a set of ground-truth house layouts as reference, and 3) a pair of generated floorplans for each question. A subject is given 75 question and asked to choose one of the four possible answers ("A is better", "B is better", "Both are good", "Both are bad"), where the entire session takes around 10 to 15 minutes to be completed. The generated sample pair comes from a pair of randomly selected models plus ground-truth. We enforce that each possible pair of model is selected exactly 5 times during the entire session.

### 2 Architects feedback

We present some feedback from professional architects after participating on the user study. Overall, participants demonstrated excitement with potential applications of our research work and reported looking at floorplan functionality and even artistic values for their evaluation, which indicates the complex nature in the user study. Here are some of their feedback:

**Architect** #1: "It is pretty convenient and easy to pick out the layout I like from the generated options. It is surprising that I can just make some small tweaks on walls and finalize the detailed layout design (including furniture) in 2 hours.".

Architect #2: "I can quickly identify some of the obviously good or bad ones, but I struggled with some of them, trying to think about all the possibilities to

### N. Nauata et al.

Living room	n 📕 Dining lry room 📕	room Living Corridor	-dining-kitchen Closet	Bedroom Balcony	Bathroom Unkown





 $\odot$  A is better  $~\odot$  B is better  $~\odot$  Both are good  $~\odot$  Both are bad

Fig. 1. A screenshot of our user study for the realism evaluation. The legend appears on the top, followed by a set of ground-truth samples in the middle, and a pair of generated sample at the bottom for each question.

justify them." and "(continue) as an architect, I'd like to think about interesting possibilities instead of just saying no or bad to some design options.".

Architect #3: "I was hesitant to decide if it is just very bad or actually very cool because these designs apparently don't fit for general residents, but they might be a perfect option for some special requirements. For example, this one might be a very cool home and working studio for a fashion designer (a large walk-in closet with circulated runways+living space around the closet).".

#### 3 Additional experimental details

In the compatibility score computation (graph edit distance), we decreased the number of test samples from 5,000 to 1,000 for graphs of size 10-12 and 13+, due

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

to its high computational cost. For all experiments, we compute the graph edit distance score using the function *optimize\_edit\_distance* from NetworkX version 2.4 [2]. We set the upper bound (max distance) to 40 and added a timeout of 1 hour to this function call. In the ablation study for compatibility, the first baseline without any constraint (i.e. CNN-only without room count, type and connectivity) was evaluated disregarding the room type in the graph edit distance computation, since no room type information is estimated nor given in this baseline.

### 4 Baselines architectural specification

Tables 1 and 2 present the full architecture specification for the CNN-only and GCN baselines.

### 5 Additional results

Figures 2-11 present additional generation results by the proposed system (House-GAN). Each row shows an input bubble diagram and pairs of a generated room mask and the corresponding house layout. We divide the samples into 5 groups depending on the numbers of rooms. We present two pages of results for each group, in a increasing order of the room counts.

**Table 1.** CNN-only architectural specification. "s" and "p" denote stride and padding. Considering 40 to be the maximum number of rooms in our experiments. "x", "z", "t" and "c" denote the room mask, noise vector, all room types vector concatenated (i.e.  $1 \times 400$ ) and all connections (i.e.  $780 = \binom{40}{2}$ ) represented as a 1D vector ( $1 \times 780$ ). Convolution kernels and layer dimensions are specified as ( $N_{in} \times N_{out} \times W \times H$ ) and ( $W \times H \times C$ ).

Architecture	Layer	Specification	Output Size
House layout	concat(z,t,c)	N/A	$1 \times 1308$
	$linear\_reshape_1$	$1308\times1024$	$8 \times 8 \times 16$
	$upsample_1$	$16\times 16\times 4\times 4, (s=2,p=1)$	$16\times 16\times 16$
generator	$upsample_2$	$16\times 16\times 4\times 4, (s=2,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$16\times 256\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32 \times 32 \times 256$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$256\times 128\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32 \times 32 \times 128$
	$conv\_tanh_1$	$128\times40\times3\times3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times40$
	concat(t,c)	N/A	$1 \times 1180$
	$linear\_reshape_1$	$1180\times8192$	$32 \times 32 \times 8$
	$concat\_with(x)$	N/A	$32\times32\times9$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$9\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$16 \times 16 \times 3 \times 3, (s = 1, p = 1)$	$32\times32\times16$
House layout	$conv\_leaky\_relu_3$	$16 \times 16 \times 3 \times 3, (s = 1, p = 1)$	$32\times32\times16$
discriminator	$downsample_1$	$16 \times 16 \times 3 \times 3, (s=2, p=1)$	$16\times 16\times 16$
	$downsample_2$	$16\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$8\times8\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$16\times 256\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$4\times 4\times 256$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$256\times 128\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$2 \times 2 \times 128$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_3$	$128 \times 128 \times 3 \times 3, (s = 2, p = 1)$	$1 \times 1 \times 128$
	$reshape\_linear_1$	$128 \times 1$	1

**Table 2.** GCN architectural specification. "s" and "p" denote stride and padding. "x", "z" and "t" denote room mask, noise vector, and room type vector. "gcn" layers are implemented using the official code borrowed from Ashual *et al.* [1] and Johnson *et al.* [3]. In "gcn",  $d_{in}$  denotes input dimension,  $d_h$  hidden dimension and  $n_l$  number of layers. All "gcn" layers uses average pooling. Convolution kernels and layer dimensions are specified as  $(N_{in} \times N_{out} \times W \times H)$  and  $(W \times H \times C)$ .

Architecture	Layer	Specification	Output Size
House layout generator	concat(z,t)	N/A	$1 \times 138$
	$linear_1$	$138 \times 128$	$1 \times 128$
	gcn	$(d_{in} = 128, d_h = 512, n_l = 2)$	$1 \times 128$
	$linear_2\_reshape$	$128\times1024$	$8\times8\times16$
	$upsample_1$	$16\times 16\times 4\times 4, (s=2,p=1)$	$16\times 16\times 16$
	$upsample_2$	$16\times 16\times 4\times 4, (s=2,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$16\times 256\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times256$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$256\times 128\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times128$
	$conv\_tanh_1$	$128\times1\times3\times3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times 32\times 1$
House layout discriminator	$linear\_reshape_1(t)$	$10\times 8192$	$32\times 32\times 8$
	concat(t, x)	N/A	$32\times 32\times 9$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$9\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$16\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_3$	$16\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=1,p=1)$	$32\times32\times16$
	$downsample_1$	$16\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$16\times 16\times 16$
	$downsample_2$	$16\times 16\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$8\times8\times16$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_1$	$16\times 256\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$4\times 4\times 256$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_2$	$256\times 128\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$2\times 2\times 128$
	$conv\_leaky\_relu_3$	$128\times 128\times 3\times 3, (s=2,p=1)$	$1\times1\times128$
	gcn	$(d_{in} = 128, d_h = 512, n_l = 2)$	$1 \times 128$
	$pool\_reshape\_linear_1$	$128 \times 1$	1



Fig. 2. Additional qualitative results for room masks and final house layouts. Each row shows four generated sample pairs (i.e. mask and layout) for the same graph presented in the first column. Model was trained on graphs of size 4+ and tested on graphs of size 1-3.



Fig. 3. Continued.



Fig. 4. Results for model trained on graphs of size 1-3 and 7+ and tested on graphs of size 4-6.



Fig. 5. Continued.



Fig. 6. Results for model trained on graphs of size 1-6 and 10+ and tested on graphs of size 7-9.



Fig. 7. Continued.



Fig. 8. Results for model trained on graphs of size 1-9 and 13+ and tested on graphs of size 10-12.



Fig. 9. Continued.



Fig. 10. Results for model trained on graphs of size 1-12 and tested on graphs of size 13+.



Fig. 11. Continued.

16 N. Nauata et al.

## References

- Ashual, O., Wolf, L.: Specifying object attributes and relations in interactive scene generation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 4561–4569 (2019)
- Hagberg, A., Swart, P., S Chult, D.: Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using networkx. Tech. rep., Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States) (2008)
- Johnson, J., Gupta, A., Fei-Fei, L.: Image generation from scene graphs. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 1219–1228 (2018)