

Supplementary Material: Kernel Relative-prototype Spectral Filtering for Few-shot Learning

Tao Zhang¹[0000-0002-0281-9234] and Wu Huang²[0000-0002-2525-6454]

¹ Chengdu Techman Software Co., Ltd., Chengdu, Sichuan, China
ztuestc@outlook.com

² Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China
huangwu@scu.edu.cn

1 Appendix

1.1 Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose that: 1) $h(\gamma_{i,c}, \lambda_{l,c}) = \mathbb{I}_{(\gamma_{i,c} \geq \lambda_{l,c})} \gamma_{i,c}^{-1}$; 2) $\zeta = 1$; 3) $\lambda_{l,c} = \text{constant}$ for all l and c ; 4) the map function ϕ_ω is identical. Eq. 17 is reduced to $d_{l,c}^2(\lambda, \mathbf{S}_c, \mathbf{q}_l) = \|(I - P_c P_c^T)(f_\theta(\mathbf{q}_l) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)\|^2$ with P_c the truncated matrix of W_c , where W_c is the eigenvector matrix of empirical covariance matrix C .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{l,c}^2(\lambda, \mathbf{S}_c, \mathbf{q}_l) &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}(\lambda_c)\|^2 \\
 &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} - \sum_{i=1}^n h(\gamma_{i,c}, \lambda_{l,c}) \gamma_{i,c} \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}, \mathbf{w}_{i,c} \rangle \mathbf{w}_{i,c}\|^2 \\
 &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{(\gamma_{i,c} \geq \lambda)} \langle \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}, \mathbf{w}_{i,c} \rangle \mathbf{w}_{i,c}\|^2 \\
 &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} - \mathbf{W}_c G \langle \mathbf{W}_c^T, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} \rangle\|^2, \tag{1} \\
 &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} - \mathbf{W}_c G \mathbf{W}_c^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}\|^2 \\
 &= \|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c} - P_c P_c^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - P_c P_c^T) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{l,c}\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - P_c P_c^T)(\phi_\omega(f_\theta(\mathbf{q}_l)) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - P_c P_c^T)(f_\theta(\mathbf{q}_l) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_c)\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{W}_c = \{\mathbf{w}_{1,c}, \mathbf{w}_{2,c}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{n,c}\}$ and $G = \text{diag}(\mathbb{I}_{(\gamma_{1,c} \geq \lambda)}, \mathbb{I}_{(\gamma_{2,c} \geq \lambda)}, \dots, \mathbb{I}_{(\gamma_{n,c} \geq \lambda)})$.