HVCLIP: High-dimensional Vector in CLIP for Unsupervised Domain Adaptation

Noranart Vesdapunt, Kah Kuen Fu, Yue Wu,
Xu Zhang, and Pradeep Natarajan

Amazon
{solves,kahkuen,wuayue,xzhnamz,natarap}@amazon.com

1 Appendix

1.1 Full Result Tables

We include tables for VisDA-2017 [21] (Tab. 2), Office-31 [23] (Tab. 1), Office-Home [28] (Tab. 3), DomainNet [20] (Tab. 4) from External Comparison section.

Table 1: Accuracies (%) on Office-31.

Method		A→W	D→W	W→D	A→D	D→A	W→A	Avg.
ResNet-50 [8]	ResNet-50	68.4	96.7	99.3	68.9	62.5	60.7	76.1
DANN [5]		82.0	96.9	99.1	79.7	68.2	67.4	82.2
SAFN+ENT [32]		90.1	98.6	99.8	90.7	73.0	70.2	87.1
SUDA [36]		90.8	98.7	100	91.2	72.2	71.4	87.4
CaCo [9]		89.7	98.4	100	91.7	73.1	72.8	87.6
SHOT [16]		90.1	98.4	99.9	94.0	74.7	74.3	88.6
CDAN+TN [29]		95.7	98.7	100	94.0	73.4	74.2	89.3
MDD+SCDA [15]		95.3	99.0	100	95.4	77.2	75.9	90.5
FixBi [19]		96.1	99.3	100	95.0	78.7	79.4	91.4
Ours		96.2	99.4	100	96.0	80.1	80.6	**92.1**
ViT-B [3]	ViT-B/16	91.2	99.2	100	90.4	81.1	80.6	90.4
SHOT [16]		94.3	99.0	100.	95.3	79.4	80.2	91.4
CDTrans* [33]		96.7	99.0	100	97.0	81.1	81.9	92.6
SSRT [26]		97.7	99.2	100	98.6	83.5	82.2	93.5
TVT [34]		96.4	99.4	100	96.4	84.9	86.1	93.8
PADCLIP [12]		97.9	99.2	100	98.5	84.6	85.3	94.3
VFR [37]		98.1	99.4	100.0	98.7	84.4	85.5	94.4
PMTrans [38]		99.1	99.6	100	99.4	85.7	86.3	95.0
Ours		99.3	100	100	99.4	87.3	86.8	**95.5**

References

1. Chen, L., Chen, H., Wei, Z., Jin, X., Tan, X., Jin, Y., Chen, E.: Reusing the task-specific classifier as a discriminator: Discriminator-free adversarial domain adaptation. In: CVPR. pp. 7181–7190 (2022)

Table 2: Accuracies (%) on VisDA-2017. *CDTrans uses DeiT-base backbone.

Method		plane	bcycl	bus	car	horse	knife	mcycl	person	plant	sktbrd	train	truck	Avg.
ResNet-101 [8]	ResNet-101	55.1	53.3	61.9	59.1	80.6	17.9	79.7	31.2	81.0	26.5	73.5	8.5	52.4
DANN [5]		81.9	77.7	82.8	44.3	81.2	29.5	65.1	28.6	51.9	54.6	82.8	7.8	57.4
CDAN [18]		85.2	66.9	83.0	50.8	84.2	74.9	88.1	74.5	83.4	76.0	81.9	38.0	73.9
SAF [32]		93.6	61.3	84.1	70.6	94.1	79.0	91.8	79.6	89.9	55.6	89.0	24.4	76.1
SWD [13]		90.8	82.5	81.7	70.5	91.7	69.5	86.3	77.5	87.4	63.6	85.6	29.2	76.4
CaCo [9]		90.4	80.7	78.8	57.0	88.9	87.0	81.3	79.4	88.7	88.1	86.8	63.9	80.9
SUDA [36]		91.5	79.7	71.9	66.5	88.5	81.1	85.6	79.5	86.2	86.5	79.9	74.3	80.9
DTA [14]		93.7	82.2	85.6	83.8	93.0	81.0	90.7	82.0	95.1	78.1	86.4	32.1	81.5
CGDM [4]		93.4	82.7	73.2	68.4	92.9	94.5	88.7	82.1	93.4	82.5	86.8	49.2	82.3
FixBi [19]		96.1	87.8	90.5	90.3	96.8	95.3	92.8	88.7	97.2	94.2	90.9	25.7	87.2
SHOT [16]		94.3	88.5	80.1	57.3	93.1	94.9	80.7	80.3	91.5	89.1	86.3	58.2	82.9
MCC+NWD [1]		96.1	82.7	76.8	71.4	92.5	96.8	88.2	81.3	92.2	88.7	84.1	53.7	83.7
SDAT [22]		95.8	85.5	76.9	69.0	93.5	97.4	88.5	78.2	93.1	91.6	86.3	55.3	84.3
MSGD [31]		97.5	83.4	84.4	69.4	95.9	94.1	90.9	75.5	95.5	94.6	88.1	44.9	84.6
CAN [10]		97.0	87.2	82.5	74.3	97.8	96.2	90.8	80.7	96.6	96.3	87.5	59.9	87.2
AaD [35]		97.4	90.5	80.8	76.2	97.3	96.1	89.8	82.9	95.5	93.0	92.0	64.7	88.0
PADCLIP [12]		96.7	88.8	87.0	82.8	97.1	93.0	91.3	83.0	95.5	91.8	91.5	63.0	88.5
SKD [30]		98.8	87.8	92.0	72.0	98.7	93.4	94.8	75.1	92.5	96.0	95.9	72.0	89.1
VFR [11]		97.2	89.3	87.6	83.1	98.4	95.4	92.2	82.5	94.9	93.2	91.3	64.7	89.2
Ours		98.8	90.1	90.8	82.2	97.3	95.5	91.8	82.9	94.9	92.8	92.2	70.8	**90.0**
ViT-B [3]	ViT-B/16	99.1	60.7	70.6	82.7	96.5	73.1	97.1	19.7	64.5	94.7	97.2	15.4	72.6
TVT [34]		92.9	85.6	77.5	60.5	93.6	98.2	89.4	76.4	93.6	92.0	91.7	55.7	83.9
SHOT [16]		97.9	90.3	86.0	73.4	96.9	98.8	94.3	54.8	95.4	87.1	93.4	62.7	85.9
PMTrans [38]		98.9	93.7	84.5	73.3	99.0	98.0	96.2	67.8	94.2	98.4	96.6	49.0	87.5
CDTrans* [33]		97.1	90.5	82.4	77.5	96.6	96.1	93.6	88.6	97.9	86.9	90.3	62.8	88.4
SSRT [26]		98.9	87.6	89.1	84.8	98.3	98.7	96.3	81.1	94.9	97.9	94.5	43.1	88.8
SDAT [22]		98.4	90.9	85.4	82.1	98.5	97.6	96.3	86.1	96.2	96.7	92.9	56.8	89.8
DIFO [27]		97.5	89.0	90.8	83.5	97.8	97.3	93.2	83.5	95.2	96.8	93.7	65.9	90.3
ADCLIP [24]		99.6	92.8	94.0	78.6	98.8	95.4	96.8	83.9	91.5	95.8	95.5	65.7	90.7
PADCLIP [12]		98.1	93.8	87.1	85.5	98.0	96.0	94.4	86.0	94.9	93.3	93.5	70.2	90.9
SKD [30]		99.6	95.2	94.3	74.5	99.6	98.0	95.9	79.8	89.8	99.2	96.6	71.8	91.2
VFR [11]		98.4	94.3	89.0	85.4	98.5	98.3	96.1	86.3	95.1	95.2	92.5	70.9	91.7
Ours		99.0	93.7	92.1	84.5	98.8	96.2	94.2	88.6	96.9	96.7	94.5	74.4	**92.5**

Table 3: Accuracies (%) on Office-Home. *CDTrans uses DeiT-Base backbone.

Method		Ar→Cl	Ar→Pr	Ar→Rw	Cl→Ar	Cl→Pr	Cl→Rw	Pr→Ar	Pr→Cl	Pr→Rw	Rw→Ar	Rw→Cl	Rw→Pr	Avg.
ResNet-50 [8]	ResNet-50	34.9	50.0	58.0	37.4	41.9	46.2	38.5	31.2	60.4	53.9	41.2	59.9	46.1
CDAN+E [18]		50.7	70.6	76.0	57.6	70.0	70.0	57.4	50.9	77.3	70.9	56.7	81.6	65.8
SAFN [32]		52.0	71.7	76.3	64.2	69.9	71.9	63.7	51.4	77.1	70.9	57.1	81.5	67.3
CDAN+TN [29]		50.2	71.4	77.4	59.3	72.7	73.1	61.0	53.1	79.5	71.9	59.0	82.9	67.6
FGDA+MDD [7]		57.1	77.5	81.0	68.4	77.2	75.9	65.8	55.8	81.0	74.3	60.5	83.6	71.5
SHOT [16]		57.1	78.1	81.5	68.0	78.2	78.1	67.4	54.9	82.2	73.3	58.8	84.3	71.8
SDAT [22]		58.2	77.1	82.2	66.3	77.6	76.8	63.3	57.0	82.2	74.9	64.7	86.0	72.2
MSGD [31]		58.7	76.9	78.9	70.1	76.2	76.6	69.0	57.2	82.3	74.9	62.7	84.5	72.4
MCC+NWD [1]		58.1	79.6	83.7	67.7	77.9	78.7	66.8	56.0	81.9	73.9	60.9	86.1	72.6
FixBi [19]		58.1	77.3	80.4	67.7	79.5	78.1	65.8	57.9	81.7	76.4	62.9	86.7	72.7
AaD [35]		59.3	79.3	82.1	68.9	79.8	79.5	67.2	57.4	83.1	72.1	58.5	85.4	72.7
CST [17]		59.0	79.6	83.4	68.4	77.1	76.7	68.9	56.4	83.0	75.3	62.2	85.1	73.0
DCAN+SCDA [15]		60.7	76.4	82.8	69.8	77.5	78.4	68.9	59.0	82.7	74.9	61.8	84.5	73.1
KUDA [25]		58.2	80.0	82.9	71.1	80.3	80.7	71.3	56.8	83.2	75.5	60.3	86.6	73.9
VFR [11]		58.1	85.0	84.5	77.4	85.0	84.7	76.5	58.8	85.7	75.9	60.4	86.4	76.5
PADCLIP [12]		57.5	84.0	83.8	77.8	85.5	84.7	76.3	59.2	85.4	78.1	60.2	86.7	76.6
SKD [30]		61.6	86.8	86.7	78.0	87.4	86.8	77.3	61.0	87.1	79.6	64.1	88.9	78.8
Ours		62.0	85.8	86.2	77.8	84.3	86.8	80.7	66.5	87.8	80.3	64.9	90.4	**79.5**
ViT-B [3]	ViT-B/16	54.7	83.0	87.2	77.3	83.4	85.5	74.4	50.9	87.2	79.6	53.8	88.8	75.5
SHOT [33]		67.1	83.5	85.5	76.6	83.4	83.7	76.3	65.3	85.3	80.4	66.7	83.4	78.1
DIFO [27]		70.6	90.6	88.8	82.5	90.6	88.8	80.9	70.1	88.9	83.4	70.5	91.2	83.1
CDTrans* [33]		68.8	85.0	86.9	81.5	87.1	87.3	79.6	63.3	88.2	82.0	66.0	90.6	80.5
TVT [34]		74.9	86.8	89.5	82.8	88.0	88.3	79.8	71.9	90.1	85.5	74.6	90.6	83.6
SDAT [22]		70.8	87.0	90.5	85.2	87.3	89.7	94.1	70.7	90.6	88.3	75.5	92.1	84.3
SSRT [26]		75.2	89.0	91.1	85.1	88.3	89.9	85.0	74.2	91.3	85.7	78.6	91.8	85.4
PADCLIP [12]		76.4	90.6	90.8	86.7	92.3	92.0	86.0	74.5	91.5	86.9	79.1	93.1	86.7
VFR [11]		78.2	90.4	91.0	87.5	91.9	92.3	86.7	79.7	90.9	86.4	79.4	93.5	87.3
PMTrans [38]		81.2	91.6	92.4	88.9	91.6	93.0	88.5	80.0	93.4	89.5	82.4	94.5	88.9
SKD [30]		79.6	93.7	92.7	89.5	93.7	92.9	89.1	81.1	92.6	90.2	81.6	94.2	89.2
LLaVO [2]		85.4	96.6	94.1	90.3	97.1	94.4	87.9	85.7	94.5	90.1	85.5	97.3	91.6
Ours		86.3	96.4	94.0	91.6	97.9	94.6	87.5	85.3	94.8	89.9	88.1	97.0	**92.0**

2. Chen, S., Zhang, Y., Jiang, W., Lu, J., Zhang, Y.: Large language models as visual cross-domain learners (2024)
3. Dosovitskiy, A., Beyer, L., Kolesnikov, A., Weissenborn, D., Zhai, X., Unterthiner, T., Dehghani, M., Minderer, M., Heigold, G., Gelly, S., et al.: An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. ICLR (2021)
4. Du, Z., Li, J., Su, H., Zhu, L., Lu, K.: Cross-domain gradient discrepancy minimization for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: CVPR. pp. 3937–3946 (2021)
5. Ganin, Y., Lempitsky, V.: Unsupervised domain adaptation by backpropagation. In: ICML. pp. 1180–1189 (2015)
6. Gao, J., Hua, Y., Hu, G., Wang, C., Robertson, N.M.: Reducing distributional uncertainty by mutual information maximisation and transferable feature learning. In: ECCV. pp. 587–605. Springer (2020)
7. Gao, Z., Zhang, S., Huang, K., Wang, Q., Zhong, C.: Gradient distribution alignment certificates better adversarial domain adaptation. In: ICCV. pp. 8937–8946 (2021)
8. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: CVPR. pp. 770–778 (2016)
9. Huang, J., Guan, D., Xiao, A., Lu, S., Shao, L.: Category contrast for unsupervised domain adaptation in visual tasks. In: CVPR. pp. 1203–1214 (2022)
10. Kang, G., Jiang, L., Yang, Y., Hauptmann, A.G.: Contrastive adaptation network for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 4893–4902 (2019)

Table 4: Accuracies (%) on **DomainNet**. In each sub-table, the column-wise means source domain and the row-wise means target domain.

SWD [13]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	14.7	31.9	10.1	45.3	36.5	27.7
inf	22.9	-	24.2	2.5	33.2	21.3	20.0
pnt	33.6	15.3	-	4.4	46.1	30.7	26.0
qdr	15.5	2.2	6.4	-	11.1	10.2	9.1
rel	41.2	18.1	44.2	4.6	-	31.6	27.9
skt	44.2	15.2	37.3	10.3	44.7	-	30.3
Avg.	31.5	13.1	28.8	6.4	36.1	26.1	23.6

ResNet -101 [8]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	19.3	37.5	11.1	52.2	41.0	32.2
inf	30.2	-	31.2	3.6	44.0	27.9	27.4
pnt	39.6	18.7	-	4.9	54.5	36.3	30.8
qdr	7.0	0.9	1.4	-	4.1	8.3	4.3
rel	48.4	22.2	49.4	6.4	-	38.8	33.0
skt	46.9	15.4	37.0	10.9	47.0	-	31.4
Avg.	34.4	15.3	31.3	7.4	40.4	30.5	26.6

CGDM [4]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	16.9	35.3	10.8	53.5	36.9	30.7
inf	27.8	-	28.2	4.4	48.2	22.5	26.2
pnt	37.7	14.5	-	4.6	59.4	33.5	30.0
qdr	14.9	1.5	6.2	-	10.9	10.2	8.7
rel	49.4	20.8	47.2	4.8	-	38.2	32.0
skt	50.1	16.5	43.7	11.1	55.6	-	35.4
Avg	36.0	14.0	32.1	7.1	45.5	28.3	27.2

CDAN [18]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	20.4	36.6	9.0	50.7	42.3	31.8
inf	27.5	-	25.7	1.8	34.7	20.1	22.0
pnt	42.6	20.0	-	2.5	55.6	38.5	31.8
qdr	21.0	4.5	8.1	-	14.3	15.7	12.7
rel	51.9	23.3	50.4	5.4	-	41.4	34.5
skt	50.8	20.3	43.0	2.9	50.8	-	33.6
Avg.	38.8	17.7	32.8	4.3	41.2	31.6	27.7

MIM TFL [6]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	15.1	35.6	10.7	51.5	43.1	31.2
inf	32.1	-	31.0	2.9	48.5	31.0	29.1
pnt	40.1	14.7	-	4.2	55.4	36.8	30.2
qdr	18.8	3.1	5.0	-	16.0	13.8	11.3
rel	48.5	19.0	47.6	5.8	-	39.4	32.1
skt	51.7	16.5	40.3	12.3	53.5	-	34.9
Avg.	38.2	13.7	31.9	7.2	45.0	32.8	28.1

MDD [15]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	20.4	43.3	15.2	59.3	46.5	36.9
inf	32.7	-	34.5	6.3	47.6	29.2	30.1
pnt	46.4	19.9	-	8.1	58.8	42.9	35.2
qdr	31.1	6.6	18.0	-	28.8	22.0	21.3
rel	55.5	23.7	52.9	9.5	-	45.2	37.4
skt	55.8	20.1	46.5	15.0	56.7	-	38.8
Avg.	44.3	18.1	39.0	10.8	50.2	37.2	33.3

ViT-B [3]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	27.2	53.1	13.2	71.2	53.3	43.6
inf	51.4	-	49.3	4.0	66.3	41.1	42.4
pnt	53.1	25.6	-	4.8	70.0	41.8	39.1
qdr	30.5	4.5	16.0	-	27.0	19.3	19.5
rel	58.4	29.0	60.0	6.0	-	45.8	39.9
skt	63.9	23.8	52.3	14.4	67.4	-	44.4
Avg.	51.5	22.0	46.1	8.5	60.4	40.3	38.1

SSRT [26]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	29.4	57.2	26.0	72.6	58.1	48.7
inf	57.0	-	54.4	12.8	69.5	48.4	48.4
pnt	62.9	27.4	-	15.8	72.1	53.9	46.4
qdr	44.6	8.9	29.0	-	42.6	28.5	30.7
rel	66.2	31.0	61.5	16.2	-	52.9	45.6
skt	69.0	29.6	59.0	27.2	72.5	-	51.5
Avg.	59.9	25.3	52.2	19.6	65.9	48.4	45.2

CDTr-ans [33]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	33.8	60.2	19.4	75.8	59.8	49.8
inf	55.5	-	54.0	9.0	68.2	44.7	46.3
pnt	61.7	28.5	-	8.4	71.4	55.2	45.0
qdr	42.5	8.8	24.2	-	37.6	33.6	29.3
rel	69.9	37.1	66.0	10.1	-	58.9	48.4
skt	70.6	32.8	62.2	21.7	73.2	-	52.1
Avg.	60.0	28.2	53.3	13.7	65.3	50.4	45.2

PMTr-ans [38]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	33.8	60.2	19.4	75.8	59.8	49.8
inf	55.5	-	54.0	9.0	68.2	44.7	46.3
pnt	61.7	28.5	-	8.4	71.4	55.2	45.0
qdr	42.5	8.8	24.2	-	37.6	33.6	29.3
rel	69.9	37.1	66.0	10.1	-	58.9	48.4
skt	70.6	32.8	62.2	21.7	73.2	-	52.1
Avg.	67.9	30.7	59.1	27.0	72.8	56.9	52.4

VFR [11]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	70.2	72.4	73.1	75.5	74.9	73.2
inf	54.8	-	54.6	50.8	56.1	56.2	54.5
pnt	69.9	68.5	-	64.3	74.6	70.2	69.5
qdr	35.3	16.6	29.5	-	30.2	32.3	28.8
rel	85.1	82.2	83.0	81.2	-	80.3	82.4
skt	67.4	65.9	66.4	62.3	65.6	-	65.5
Avg.	62.5	60.7	61.2	66.3	60.4	62.8	62.3

SKD [27]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	52.7	72.0	36.3	85.1	71.5	63.5
inf	78.5	-	72.2	30.4	85.0	69.5	67.1
pnt	78.7	49.8	-	29.6	84.5	69.7	62.4
qdr	70.7	22.9	50.9	-	82.4	62.3	57.8
rel	80.3	53.5	74.2	30.2	-	71.1	61.9
skt	81.0	52.0	73.0	36.6	84.6	-	65.4
Avg.	77.9	46.2	68.5	32.6	84.3	68.8	63.0

PAD [12]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	73.6	75.4	74.6	76.4	76.3	75.3
inf	55.1	-	54.3	53.6	54.9	54.9	54.6
pnt	71.1	70.6	-	70.0	72.7	71.7	71.2
qdr	36.8	18.0	32.0	-	31.7	34.9	30.7
rel	84.2	83.5	83.5	83.1	-	83.6	83.6
skt	68.1	66.6	67.2	66.1	67.5	-	67.1
Avg.	63.1	62.5	62.5	69.5	60.6	64.3	63.7

LLa-VO [2]	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	56.0	71.5	19.9	87.1	74.2	61.8
inf	82.0	-	72.7	21.5	86.9	72.9	67.2
pnt	82.3	55.3	-	17.5	86.8	72.8	63.0
qdr	79.2	52.5	66.3	-	84.8	70.7	70.7
rel	83.7	55.7	74.2	18.8	-	73.6	61.2
skt	83.1	55.3	75.4	21.4	87.1	-	64.4
Avg.	82.1	55.0	72.0	19.8	84.2	72.8	64.7

Ours	clp	inf	pnt	qdr	rel	skt	Avg.
clp	-	75.2	77.1	73.8	76.2	77.1	75.9
inf	65.4	-	54.7	51.4	70.2	57.8	59.9
pnt	69.6	72.2	-	70.1	71.6	72.4	71.2
qdr	52.0	18.2	38.5	-	62.4	41.8	42.6
rel	82.0	82.5	80.4	80.5	-	86.8	82.4
skt	76.3	65.0	63.6	67.2	75.5	-	69.5
Avg.	69.1	62.6	62.9	68.6	71.2	67.2	**66.9**

11. Lai, Z., Bai, H., Zhang, H., Du, X., Shan, J., Yang, Y., Chuah, C.N., Cao, M.: Empowering unsupervised domain adaptation with large-scale pre-trained vision-language models. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). pp. 2691–2701 (January 2024)
12. Lai, Z., Vesdapunt, N., Zhou, N., Wu, J., Huynh, P.C., Li, X., Fu, K.K., Chuah, C.N.: Padclip: Pseudo-labeling with adaptive debiasing in clip for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: ICCV (2023)
13. Lee, C.Y., Batra, T., Baig, M.H., Ulbricht, D.: Sliced wasserstein discrepancy for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: CVPR. pp. 10285–10295 (2019)
14. Lee, S., Kim, D., Kim, N., Jeong, S.G.: Drop to adapt: Learning discriminative features for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 91–100 (2019)
15. Li, S., Xie, M., Lv, F., Liu, C.H., Liang, J., Qin, C., Li, W.: Semantic concentration for domain adaptation. In: ICCV. pp. 9102–9111 (2021)
16. Liang, J., Hu, D., Feng, J.: Do we really need to access the source data? source hypothesis transfer for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: ICML. pp. 6028–6039 (2020)
17. Liu, H., Wang, J., Long, M.: Cycle self-training for domain adaptation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **34**, 22968–22981 (2021)
18. Long, M., Cao, Z., Wang, J., Jordan, M.I.: Conditional adversarial domain adaptation. In: NeurIPS. pp. 1645–1655 (2018)

19. Na, J., Jung, H., Chang, H.J., Hwang, W.: Fixbi: Bridging domain spaces for unsupervised domain adaptation (2021)
20. Peng, X., Bai, Q., Xia, X., Huang, Z., Saenko, K., Wang, B.: Moment matching for multi-source domain adaptation. In: ICCV. pp. 1406–1415 (2019)
21. Peng, X., Usman, B., Kaushik, N., Hoffman, J., Wang, D., Saenko, K.: Visda: The visual domain adaptation challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.06924 (2017)
22. Rangwani, H., Aithal, S.K., Mishra, M., Jain, A., Radhakrishnan, V.B.: A closer look at smoothness in domain adversarial training. In: ICML. pp. 18378–18399 (2022)
23. Saenko, K., Kulis, B., Fritz, M., Darrell, T.: Adapting visual category models to new domains. In: ECCV. pp. 213–226 (2010)
24. Singha, M., Pal, H., Jha, A., Banerjee, B.: Ad-clip: Adapting domains in prompt space using clip. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) Workshops. pp. 4355–4364 (October 2023)
25. Sun, T., Lu, C., Ling, H.: Prior knowledge guided unsupervised domain adaptation. In: Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXXIII. pp. 639–655. Springer (2022)
26. Sun, T., Lu, C., Zhang, T., Ling, H.: Safe self-refinement for transformer-based domain adaptation. In: CVPR. pp. 7191–7200 (2022)
27. Tang, S., Su, W., Ye, M., Zhu, X.: Source-free domain adaptation with frozen multimodal foundation model (2023)
28. Venkateswara, H., Eusebio, J., Chakraborty, S., Panchanathan, S.: Deep hashing network for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: CVPR. pp. 5018–5027 (2017)
29. Wang, X., Jin, Y., Long, M., Wang, J., Jordan, M.: Transferable normalization: Towards improving transferability of deep neural networks. In: NeurIPS (2019)
30. Westfechtel, T., Zhang, D., Harada, T.: Combining inherent knowledge of vision-language models with unsupervised domain adaptation through self-knowledge distillation (2023)
31. Xia, H., Jing, T., Ding, Z.: Maximum structural generation discrepancy for unsupervised domain adaptation. PAMI (2022)
32. Xu, R., Li, G., Yang, J., Lin, L.: Larger norm more transferable: An adaptive feature norm approach for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: ICCV. pp. 1426–1435 (2019)
33. Xu, T., Chen, W., Wang, P., Wang, F., Li, H., Jin, R.: Cdtrans: Cross-domain transformer for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: ICLR (2022)
34. Yang, J., Liu, J., Xu, N., Huang, J.: Tvt: Transferable vision transformer for unsupervised domain adaptation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. pp. 520–530 (2023)
35. Yang, S., Wang, Y., Wang, K., Jui, S., et al.: Attracting and dispersing: A simple approach for source-free domain adaptation. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (2022)
36. Zhang, J., Huang, J., Tian, Z., Lu, S.: Spectral unsupervised domain adaptation for visual recognition. In: CVPR. pp. 9829–9840 (2022)
37. Zheng, Z., Ma, M., Wang, K., Qin, Z., Yue, X., You, Y.: Preventing zero-shot transfer degradation in continual learning of vision-language models. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). pp. 19125–19136 (October 2023)
38. Zhu, J., Bai, H., Wang, L.: Patch-mix transformer for unsupervised domain adaptation: A game perspective. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 3561–3571 (2023)